

The 6th International G-I-N Conference
1 to 4 November 2009 – Lisbon

FINAL PROGRAM

Sunday November 1st, 2009

14:00 – 18:00 Registration

18:30 – 20:00 Welcome reception - Museu do Oriente

Monday November 2nd, 2009

8:00 – 18:00 Registration and Poster Set Up

Main Auditorium

8:45 – 09:00 Opening ceremony

Opening words: Sara Twaddle (G-I-N Chair)

António Vaz Carneiro (President of the Local Organizing Committee)

Main Auditorium

9:00 – 10:30 PLENARY I / Knowledge transfer between countries

Keynote: Knowledge transfer and cultural differences - Alessandro Liberati / Italy

Discussants: How does it work - Ibero American Network - Rodrigo Pardo / Colombia

Different needs for guidelines: diseases, medicines & economics - Albertino Damasceno / Mozambique

10:30 – 11:15 Coffee break and poster presentation

11:15 – 13:00 Parallel sessions / Workshops

PARALLEL SESSIONS

Room Expansão Missionária

Guideline Implementation I

O1 Establishing an evidence dissemination and implementation unit to support new, multi-disciplinary mental health services in using evidence and guidelines in practice

Michaela Willet^{1,3}, Sarah Hetrick^{1,3}, Alexandra Parker^{1,3}, Patrick McGorry^{1,2}, Rosemary Purcell^{1,3}

1Orygen Youth Health Research Centre, Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, 2Orygen Youth Health, Melbourne, Australia, 3Headspace Australia's Youth Mental Health Foundation, Melbourne, Australia

O2 Using Action-Types to Design Guideline Implementation Systems

Richard Shiffman, Edwin Lomotan, George Michel
Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, United States

O3 Implementation of a Clinical Guideline based on a Physician Outreach Visit in Obstetrics Jussara Munareto^{1,2}, Airton Stein^{1,3}, Ronaldo Bordin¹, Fernando Bernd², Genes Bersch²

1Ufrgs, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, 2Unimed, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, 3GHC,UFCSPA,Ulbra, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

O4 Possible barriers to implementing clinical practice guidelines in Brazilian private health system

Jorge Carvalho¹, Rochele Santos¹, Martha Oliveira¹, Karla Coelho¹, Alfredo Scaff¹, Fausto dos Santos¹, Wanderley Bernardo², Luciana Fonseca¹

1Agência Nacional de Saúde Suplementar, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, Brazil

O5 Barriers to adhere to the preoperative fasting guideline and how to overcome them

Dirk Ubbink, Hester Vermeulen
Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

O6 Building bridges between guidelines and clinical practice in the allied health professions

Pierre Trudelle, Christian Boissier, Marielle Lafont
French National Authority for Health, Saint-Denis, France

O7 Identification of barriers and implementation of strategies to improve timing and creation of appropriate access for new haemodialysis patients

Pamela Lopez-Vargas¹, Martin Gallagher², Jonathan Craig^{1,3}, Rowan Walker⁴, Paul Snelling⁵, Eugenia Pedagogos⁴, Nicholas Gray⁶, Murthy Divi⁷, Alastair Gillies⁸, Michael Suranyi⁹, Hla Thein¹⁰, Kevan Polkinghorne¹¹

1Centre for Kidney Research, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, New South Wales, Australia, 2Concord Repatriation and General Hospital, New South Wales, Australia, 3School of Public Health, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, 4North West Dialysis Services, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Victoria, Australia, 5Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, New South Wales, Australia, 6Nambour General Hospital, Queensland, Australia, 7Gold Coast Hospital, Queensland, Australia, 8John Hunter Hospital, New South Wales, Australia, 9Liverpool Hospital, New South Wales, Australia, 10Middlemore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand, 11Monash Medical Centre, Victoria, Australia

Room Descobrimientos Portugueses

Guidelines crossing borders I

O8 Transnational collaboration in developing and updating guidelines for primary care: experiences from Belgium (Domus

Paul Van Royen¹, Anne Vanwelde², Lex Goudswaard³

1Domus Medica, Antwerp, Belgium, 2Société Scientifique de Médecine Générale, Brussels, Belgium, 3Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap, Utrecht, Netherlands

O9 The Launch of NHS Evidence

Gillian Leng, Mark Salmon, Paul Crisp
NICE, Manchester, United Kingdom

O10 Comparison of recommendations from Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG) for stroke management

Javier Gracia, Beatriz Nieto, Raquel Luengo, Petra Diaz del Campo, Juan Antonio Blasco
Agencia Lain Entralgo, Madrid, Spain

O11 Comparing the methods used by HAS and NICE to develop clinical practice guidelines

Michel Laurence, Gersende Georg, Christine Revel-Delhom, Sandrine Buscail
Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis La Plaine, France

O12 Healthcare professionals' experiences of the automatic decision support- A study method for developing the EBMeDS forward

Tiina Kortteisto¹, Minna Kaila^{1,2}, Jorma Komulainen^{2,3}, Pekka Rissanen¹
1University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 2National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, 3The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Helsinki, Finland

L 1 Transnational collaboration on guideline development: the way forward!

Sonja Kersten¹, Daphne Stemkens², Joan Vlayen¹
1ACCC, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2KCE, Brussels, Belgium

Main Auditorium

[Use of guidelines](#)

O13 Getting a Grip on Arthritis©: A National Community-Based Educational Intervention to Improve Primary Health Care (PHC) Management of Arthritis

Mary Bell^{1,2}, Jennifer Boyle^{1,2}, Sydney Lineker^{1,2}, Elizabeth Badley^{1,2}
1Arthritis Community Research Evaluation Unit, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

O14 Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy: Partnering Health Services Research with Guidelines to Impact Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes

Diane Sawchuck, Peter von Dadelszen
University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

O15 The effect of guidelines on clinical decision making in Nephrology practice: a qualitative study

Michelle J Irving^{1,2}, Allison Tong^{1,2}, Lucie Rychetnik², Rowan G Wlaker³, Michael S Frommer², Jonathan C Craig^{1,2}
1Centre for Kidney Research- Children's Hospital Westmead, Westmead NSW, Australia, 2School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney NSW, Australia, 3Department of Nephrology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

O16 Infant bronchiolitis follow-up: common multidisciplinary protocol and toolkit for Health Professionals and Patients: acceptability and feasibility in French

Primary Care Trusts (PCT).

Claudie Locquet, Gersende Georg

French National Authority for Health, Saint Denis La Plaine, France

O17 Utilization of SAGES guidelines by its membership: Initial analysis.

Dimitrios Stefanidis^{1,2}, William Richardson^{1,3}, Robert Fanelli^{1,4}

1Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons, Los Angeles, CA, United States,

2Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, NC, United States, 3Ochsner Clinic, New Orleans, LA,

United States, 4Berkshire Medical Center, Pittsfield, MA, United States

Room Exposições

Quality and updating of guidelines

O18 How valid are evidence-based guidelines? - Comparative analysis of guideline recommendations with current systematic reviews using the example of diabetes mellitus type2

Michaela Eikermann, Nicole Holzmann, Wiebke Hoffmann, Regine Potthast, Volker Vervölgyi, Thomas Kaiser, Marion Danner, Uwe Hasenbein, Alric Rüter

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany

O19 The quality of CPGs in the last two decades: An overview of reviews

Pablo Alonso-Coello^{1,2}, Affan Ifran³, Ivan Sola¹, Jako Burgers^{4,5}, Mario Delgado-Noguera^{6,7}, David Rigau¹, Montserrat Tort¹, Xavier Bonfill¹, Holger Schunemann⁸

1Iberoamerican Cochrane Center, Barcelona, Cataluna, Spain, 2Ciber de Epidemiologia y

Salud Publica (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain, 3Interactive Research and Development, The

Indus Hospital., Karachi, Pakistan, 4Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO,

Utrecht, Netherlands, 5Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare (IQ healthcare), Radboud

University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 6of Pediatrics, University of Cauca, Cauca,

Colombia, 7Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology and Preventive Medicine Departments,

Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Cataluna, Spain, 8Department of Clinical

Epidemiology & Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

O20 Updating Clinical Practice Guideline. Methodological Handbook

José Miguel Carrasco¹, Flavia Salcedo¹, Pablo Alonso-Coello^{2,8}, Javier Gracia³, Ivan Solà²,

Rafael Rotaeche⁴, Arritxu Etxeberria⁴, Petra Díaz-Del Campo³, Carlos González⁵, Antoni

Parada⁶, Maria-Dolors Estrada⁶, Rosa Rico⁷, José María Mengual¹

1Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud, Aragón, Spain, 2Centro Cochrane

Iberoamericano (Hospital Sant Pau), Cataluña, Spain, 3Agencia Lain Entralgo, Madrid, Spain,

4Servicio Vasco de Salud, País Vasco, Spain, 5Servicio Gallego de Salud, Galicia, Spain,

6Agencia de Evaluación de Tecnología e Investigación Médica, Cataluña, Spain,

7Departamento de Sanidad del País Vasco, País Vasco, Spain, 8CIBER de Epidemiologia y

Salud Publica (CIBERESP), Spain, Spain

O21 When to Update Guidelines: A pragmatic approach

Vanessa Nunes¹, Elizabeth Shaw²

1Royal College of Physicians, National Clinical Guidelines Centre for Acute and Chronic

Conditions, London, United Kingdom, 2National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence,

Manchester, United Kingdom

O22 An international survey about the updating process of Clinical Practice

Guidelines

Pablo Alonso-Coello^{1,9}, José Miguel Carrasco², Flavia Salcedo², Ivan Solà¹, Rosa Rico³, Maria-Dolors Estrada⁴, Javier Gracia⁵, Petra Díaz-Del Campo⁵, Rafael Rotaeche⁶, Jako Burgers⁷, Safia Qureshi⁸, José María Mengual²

1Centro Cochrane Iberoamericano (Hospital Sant Pau), Cataluña, Spain, 2Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud, Aragón, Spain, 3Departamento de Sanidad del País Vasco, País Vasco, Spain, 4Agencia de Evaluación de Tecnología e Investigación Médica, Cataluña, Spain, 5Agencia Laín Entralgo, Madrid, Spain, 6Servicio Vasco de Salud, País Vasco, Spain, 7Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement, Utrecht, Netherlands, 8Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 9CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

L2 AGREE II: Advancing development, reporting and evaluation of practice guidelines

Melissa Brouwers¹, Julie Makarski¹, Michelle E. Kho¹, George P. Browman², Jako S. Burgers³, Francoise Cluzeau⁴, Dave Davis⁵, Gene Feder⁶, Beatrice Fervers⁷, Ian D. Graham⁸, Jeremy Grimshaw⁹, Steven E. Hanna¹, Peter Littlejohns¹⁰, Louise Zitzelsberger¹¹
1McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada, 3Dutch Institute for Health Care Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 4St. George's Hospital Medical School, London, United Kingdom, 5Association of American Medical Colleges, Washington, DC, United States, 6University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, 7Centre Leon Berard, Lyon, France, 8University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 9Ottawa Health Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 10National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, United Kingdom, 11Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Room Brasil

[Guidelines and patient involvement](#)

O23 A comparative study of patient involvement in cancer Guideline Development Groups:

Project De-Colle

Beatrice Fervers¹, Herve Cazeneuve¹, Julien Carretier²

1Centre Leon Berard, Lyon, Rhône, France, 2Institut National du Cancer, Paris, Île de France, France

O24 A new method for patient participation in the Netherlands; a WIKI-based pilot study

Elvira den Breejen¹, Willianne Nelen¹, Rosella Hermens², Jan Kremer¹

1Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 2IQ Healthcare, Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen, Nijmegen, Netherland

O25 Consumer engagement for a public health guideline - benefits of early consultation

Marisa Bialowas, Vesna Cvjeticanin

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), Canberra, ACT, Australia

O26 Australia's approach to consumer involvement in the development of breast and ovarian cancer guidelines

Helen Zorbas, Ornella Care, Alison Pearce, Rosemary Wade, Katrina Anderson, Vivienne Milch, Anne Nelson,
National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Surry Hills, NSW, Australia

027 Public involvement in the development of leaflet for colorectal cancer screening

Chisato Hamashima¹, Chiaki Ishigaki²
1National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan, 2Tokyo University, Tokyo, Japan

028 Lessons for optimization of patient participation in guideline development

Lia van der Ham, Jacqueline Broerse, Saskia van Veen
Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands

029 "We were the group's conscience" - an evaluation of patient and carer impact on NICE's clinical guideline development

Victoria Thomas
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), London, United Kingdom

WORKSHOPS

Room Timor

W1 Evidence tables: How to make the data shareable?

Mlika-cabanne Najoua¹, Twaddle Sara², Hill Kelvin³, De Beer Hans⁴
1Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS), Saint Denis, France, 2Scotland Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 3Stroke Foundation, Melbourne, Australia, 4Dutch Association of Nursing-home Specialists, Utrecht, Netherlands

Room Sociedade Científica

W2 Improving participation of health allied professionals and nurses in guideline development

Philip Van der Wees^{1,2}, Antonio Lopes^{1,3}, Sarah Bazin^{1,4}, Maria Augusta de Sousa⁵, Jan Custers², Else Poot⁶, Dunja Dreesens⁷, Jenny Gordon¹⁰
1European Region of the World Confederation for Physical Therapy (ER-WCPT), Brussels, Belgium, 2Koninklijk Nederlands Genootschap voor Fysiotherapie (KNGF; Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy), Amersfoort, Netherlands, 3Associacao Portuguesa de Fisioterapistas (APF; Association of Portuguese Physiotherapists), S. Domingo de Rana, Portugal, 4Chartered Society of Physiotherapy (CSP), London, United Kingdom, 5Bastonária da Ordem dos Enfermeiros (Portuguese Order of Nursing), Lisboa, Portugal, 6Landelijk Expertisecentrum Verpleging & Verzorging (Netherlands Centre of Excellence in Nursing), Utrecht, Netherlands, 7ZonMw, Nederlandse organisatie voor gezondheidsonderzoek en zorginnovatie (Netherlands organisation for health research and development), Den Haag, Netherlands, 8Maastricht University, Caphri Research Institute, Maastricht, Netherlands, 9UMC St Radboud, IQ healthcare, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 10Royal College of Nursing (RCN), Oxford, United Kingdom

13:00 – 13:45 Lunch

13:45 – 14:15 Poster competition I

14:15 – 15:45 Parallel sessions / Workshops

PARALLEL SESSIONS

Room Expansão Missionária

[Guideline Implementation II](#)

O30 Implementing evidence-based guidelines to reduce inappropriate diagnostic practice

Phillip Bairstow, Jennifer Persaud, Richard Mendelson, Long Nguyen
Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

O31 Fostering the implementation of clinical practice guidelines by training facilitators

Rose Derenne, Christian Boissier
HAS, St Denis, France

O32 Implementing preventive care guidelines in general practice: lessons learnt from preconception care

Danielle Mazza, Anna Chapman
Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

O33 Perceived barriers to the implementation of clinical practice guidelines: why don't general practitioners adhere to guideline recommendations in practice?

Marjolein Lugtenberg¹, Judith Zegers^{1,2}, Gert Westert^{1,3}, Jako Burgers^{4,5}
1Tilburg University (Tranzo), Tilburg, Netherlands, 2Amphia hospital, Breda, Netherlands, 3National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, Netherlands, 4Radboud University Medical Centre (IQ healthcare), Nijmegen, Netherlands, 5Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands

O34 Systems Impact of Implementing and Using Nursing Best Practice Guidelines (BPGs) in 3

Canadian Hospitals

Nancy Matthew-Maich^{1,2}, Jenny Ploeg¹, Susan Jack¹, Maureen Dobbins¹
1McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2Mohawk College, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

O35 Prioritising Implementation Support for SIGN Guidelines in Scotland

Ali El-Ghorr, Mary Deas, Nicola Aitken, Safia Qureshi
SIGN, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom

Room Descobrimentos portugueses

[Guidelines and decision makers](#)

L3 The NHS Evidence Accreditation Scheme

Gillian Leng, Mark Salmon, Paul Chrisp
NICE, Manchester, United Kingdom

O36 Estimating time and costs for Guidelines' Development

Alexander Nast, Lukas Graf, Ricardo Erdmann, Delano Pathirana, Berthold Rzany
Division of Evidence based Medicin in Dermatology (dEBM), Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

O37 Use of Language to Convey Obligation in Practice Guidelines: Suggestions for a

Standardised Approach

Richard Shiffman¹, Edwin Lomotan¹, George Michel¹, Zhenqiu Lin²
1Yale Center for Medical Informatics, New Haven, Connecticut, United States, 2Yale-New Haven Hospital Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

O38 Improving decision-makers response to guidance that a new interventional procedure is

'safe and efficacious'

Tania Lourenco¹, Adrian Grant¹, Luke Vale^{1,2}, Jennifer Burr¹
1Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom, 2Health Economics Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom

O39 A national patient safety programme: Towards the drafting of new guidelines

Pierre TRUELLE

[Guidelines and quality indicators I](#)

Auditorium

O40 Synergies through Integrating Guideline and Quality Indicator Development – Experiences from a National Programme

Klaus Döbler
National Institute for Quality in Health Care (BQS), Düsseldorf, Germany

O41 Development of a Starter Set of Ambulatory Quality Indicators

Friederike Burgdorf
National Association of Statutory Health Insurance Physicians (KBV), Berlin, Germany

O42 Simultaneous Development of Guidelines and Quality Indicators – Results of an International Survey

Martin Scherer
University Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

O43 Development of Quality Indicators as an Integral Part of Guideline Production – Methodology and Results from the German National Disease Management

Guidelines Programme

Monika Nothacker

ÄZO

O44 Using Clinical Practice Guidelines to Identify Subject Areas for Quality Assurance Measures

Michaela Eikermann

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany: Using Clinical Practice Guidelines to Identify Subject Areas for Quality Assurance Measures

WORKSHOPS

Room Exposições

W3 SEARCH filter testing: achievements thus far and next steps for the future

Rikie Deurenberg^{1,2}, Kitty Rosenbrand^{1,2}, Michele Hilton-Boon^{1,3}

1The SEARCH Working Group, Glasgow, United Kingdom, 2Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 3Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), Glasgow, United Kingdom

Room Brasil

W4 Evidence across borders – can guidelines do the same?

Susanne Rabady¹, Ilkka Kunnamo^{2,3}

1Medical University, Salzburg, Austria, 2Medical University, Helsinki, Finland, 3Duodecim Medical Publications LTD, Helsinki, Finland

Room Timor

W5 Engaging with consumers around the world - learning from the challenges and achievements of the Cochrane Collaboration Consumer Network

Janet Wale¹, Amanda Burls²

1Cochrane Consumer Network, Melbourne, Australia, 2Postgraduate Programmes in Evidence-Based Health Care, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Room Sociedade Científica

W6 AGREE II: Workshop A – A training introduction to AGREE II

Melissa Brouwers¹, Julie Makarski¹, George P. Browman², Jako S. Burgers³, Francoise Cluzeau⁴, Dave Davis⁵, Gene Feder⁶, Beatrice Fervers⁷, Ian D. Graham⁸, Jeremy Grimshaw⁹, Steven E. Hanna¹, Michelle E. Kho¹, Peter Littlejohns¹⁰, Louise Zitzelsberger¹¹
1McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver Island, BC, Canada, 3Dutch Institute for Health Care Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 4St. George's Hospital Medical School, London, United Kingdom, 5Association of American Medical Colleges, Washington, DC, United States, 6University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, 7Centre Leon Berard, Lyon, France, 8University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario,

Canada, 9Ottawa Health Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 10National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, United Kingdom, 11Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

15:45 – 16:30 Coffee break and poster presentation

Main Auditorium

16:30 – 18:00 PLENARY II /Developments in guideline development

A short history of guideline methodology - Francoise Cluzeau / UK
Critical discussion: Theory and practice of using GRADE - Holger Schunemann / Canada and Robin Harbour / Scotland

Room Expansão Missionária

18:00 – 19:00 Informal meeting on implementation

Tuesday November 3rd

8:30 – 18:00 Registration

Main Auditorium

9:00 –10:30 PLENARY III /Patients and guidelines

Involving patients and eliciting their views: the HTAi experience - Karen Facey /Scotland
A Consumer View on Involvement in Clinical Effectiveness Activities - Carol Sakala / USA
Involving public in guideline: where next - Antoine Boivin / Canada

10:30 – 11:15 Coffee break and poster presentation

11:15 – 13:00 Parallel sessions / Workshops

PARALLEL SESSIONS

Room Expansão Missionária

Guideline 'quilt'

L4 A national multidisciplinary guideline programme for youth care: prioritization, methodology and implementation

Haske van Veenendaal¹, Flip Dronkers², Jessica van Rossum², Ilse Raats¹

1Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2Netherlands Youth Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands

O45 Cost effectiveness of a general practice chronic disease management plan for coronary heart disease in Australia

Derek Chew¹, Rob Carter^{2,3}, Bree Rankin², Andrew Boyden⁴, Helen Egan⁵

1Flinders University / Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia, 2The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 3Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 4National Heart Foundation of Australia, Canberra, ACT, Australia, 5Formerly of National Heart Foundation of Australia, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

O46 COMPUS and the CADC: National and provincial collaboration in optimal prescribing recommendations

Mike Allen¹, Bill Leslie², Elaine Chong³, Debbie Bunka⁴, Loren Regier⁵, Shawn Bugden⁶, Lena Salach⁷, Margaret Jin⁸

1Dalhousie Continuing Medical Education, Halifax, Canada, 2Canadian Optimal Medication Prescribing and Utilization Service, Ottawa, Canada, 3BC Provincial Academic Detailing Service, Vancouver, Canada, 4Academic Detailing Alberta Health Services, Calgary, Canada, 5Rx Files Academic Detailing, Saskatoon, Canada, 6Prescription Information Services of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, 7Center for Effective Practice, Toronto, Canada, 8Academic Detailing Hamilton Family Health Team, Hamilton, Canada

O47 From knowlege to action. Clinical practice guidelines, electronic medical record and change management

Ana Clavería, Arturo Louro, Grupo Fisterra, Grupo IANUS, Teresa Cerdá
Servizo Galego de Saúde, Galicia, Spain

Room Descobrimentos Portugueses

National guideline programs I

O48 Are universal the factors related to physicians' adoption of Clinical Practice Guidelines?

- Experiences in Korea

Sun-Hee Lee¹, Ju-Hye Kim¹, Ju-Hyun Suh¹, Hyung-Sik Ahn^{1,2}

1School of Medicine Ewha Woman's University, SEOUL, Republic of Korea, , 2Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea,

O49 eCDS, Evidence and Transparency: Towards a standards-based approach for eCDS implementations

John Fraser

New Zealand Guidelines Group, Wellington, New Zealand

O50 Draft clinical guideline Internet consultation : first experiment in France.

Joëlle André-Vert, Valérie Lindecker, Patrice Dosquet

Haute Autorité de Santé, La Plaine Saint-Denis, France

O51 How to identify and bridge gaps between current practice and key recommendations in clinical guidelines for persons with concurrent mental disorders and substance use disorders?

Anne Landheim, Oystein Eiring, Amund Aakerholt, Hanne Stuen, Thomas Kulbrandstad

Centre for Addiction issues, 2312, Norway

O52 How HAS develops audit support: the stroke experience

Michel Laurence

Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis La Plaine, France

O53 Interspecialty collaboration in the development of guidelines

Martin Reed

Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Children's Hospital, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

O54 Just finished developing a guideline, how did we do? A process evaluation

Christa Harstall¹, Paul Taenzer², Ann Scott¹, Donna Angus³, Carmen Moga¹

1Institute of Health Economics, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, 2Alberta Health Services Calgary and area, Calgary Alberta, Canada, 3Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Main Auditorium

Dealing with uncertainty

L5 Evidence-based management of people with chronic co-morbidity

Klara Brunnhuber, James Woodcock

BMJ Publishing Group Ltd., London, United Kingdom

O55 The last frontier? Autonomy, uncertainty and standardisation in Norwegian general practice

Benedicte Carlsen

1The Rokkan Centre, Bergen, Norway, 2The University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

O56 Translation of Evidence into Practice: An Experience from Internal Medicine and other Primary Care Practices

Amir Qaseem

American College of Physicians, Philadelphia, PA, United States

O57 Linking Primary Care and Public Health: The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care Applicability of clinical practice guidelines on patients with comorbid conditions

Kerry Robinson, Kimberly Elmslie, Catherine Makris

Public Health Agency of Canada, Ottawa, ON., Canada

O58 Applicability of clinical practice guidelines on patients with comorbid conditions

Marjolein Lugtenberg¹, Jako Burgers^{2,3}, Gert Westert^{1,4}, Carolyn Clancy⁵, Eric Schneider^{6,7}
1Tilburg University (Tranzo), Tilburg, Netherlands, 2Radboud University Medical Centre (IQ healthcare), Nijmegen, Netherlands, 3Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 4National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, Netherlands, 5Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), Rockville, United States, 6RAND, Boston, United States, 7Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, United States

Room Exposições

Developing guidelines

O59 Piloting a new scoping process-the NICE guideline on hip fracture

Jennifer Hill, Saoussen Ftouh

National Clinical Guidelines Centre, London, United Kingdom

O60 Choosing topics for clinical practice guidelines: thinking of implementation since the beginning

Rochele Alberto Martins Santos¹, Jorge Carvalho¹, Karla Coelho¹, Martha Oliveira¹, Alfredo Scaff¹, Fausto dos Santos¹, Wanderley Bernardo², Luciana Fonseca¹

1Agência Nacional de Saúde Suplementar, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, Brazil

O61 Finding evidence and guidelines made easy: a thesaurus and software application for facilitating semi-automatic indexing of evidence summaries and guidelines

Peter Nyberg, Kimmo Rannanjärvi, Ilkka Kunnamo

Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd, Helsinki, Finland

O62 Rapid HTA reviews in cancer guideline development

Lene Kristine Juvet¹, Espen Movik¹, Borghild Svorcken², Inger Natvig Norderhaug¹

1Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Po.Box 7004 St.Olavsplass, 0130 OSLO, Norway, 2Norwegian Directorate of Health, PO Box 7000 St. Olavs plass 0130 Oslo, Norway

O63 Incorporating a systematic review of qualitative studies into clinical practice guidelines on kidney transplantation

Allison Tong^{1,2}, Denise Campbell¹, Jonathan Craig^{1,2}

1CARI Guidelines, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, 2School of Public Health, University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

WORKSHOPS

Room Brasil

W7 AGREE II: Workshop B - Train the Trainer

Melissa Brouwers¹, Julie Makarski¹, George P. Browman², Jako S. Burgers³, Francoise Cluzeau⁴, Dave Davis⁵, Gene Feder⁶, Beatrice Fervers⁷, Ian D. Graham⁸, Jeremy Grimshaw⁹, Steven E. Hanna¹, Michelle E. Kho¹, Peter Littlejohns¹⁰, Tom Oliver¹, Louise Zitzelsberger¹¹

1McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver Island, BC, Canada, 3Dutch Institute for Health Care Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 4St. George's Hospital Medical School, London, United Kingdom, 5Association of American Medical Colleges, Washington, DC, United States, 6University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, 7Centre Leon Berard, Lyon, France, 8University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 9Ottawa Health Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 10National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, United Kingdom, 11Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Room Timor

W8 Implementation of shared decision making. Integrating patient preferences in clinical practices guidelines. 'Thou shalt' or 'you choose': evidence medicine meets preference-sensitive care

Trudy van der Weijden¹, Marije Koelewijn¹, Antoine Boivin^{1,2}, France Légaré^{1,3}, Jako Burgers^{1,4}, Haske van Veenendaal^{1,4}, Loes Knaapen^{1,5}, Anne Stiggelbout^{1,6}, Arwen Pieterse^{1,6}, Glyn Elwyn^{1,7}

1Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands, 2Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 3Laval University, Quebec, Canada, 4CBO Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands, 5McGill University, Montreal, Canada, 6Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands, 7Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Room Sociedade Científica

W9 Using the GRADE approach in osteoporosis guideline development; application and interpretation of indirectness

Piet Post^{1,2}, Ton Kuijpers^{1,2}, Holger Schunemann^{1,3}, Stefan Lange^{1,4}, Nicola Magrini^{1,5}
1The GRADE Working Group, 2Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 3Department of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, McMaster University Health Sciences Centre, Hamilton, Ont, Canada, 4Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany, 5Centre for the Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Health Care, WHO Collaborating Centre on evidence-based research synthesis and guideline development, Modena, Italy

13:00 – 13:45 Lunch

13:45 – 14:15 Poster competition II

14:15 – 15:45 Parallel sessions / Workshops

PARALLEL SESSIONS

Room Expansão Missionária

[Guidelines: theoretical and ethical perspectives](#)

O64 Quality of ethical guidelines and ethical content in clinical guidelines A systematic review of guidelines on medical end of life decisions

Daniel Strech¹, Jan Schildmann²

1Hannover Medical School, Berlin, Germany, 2University of Bochum, Bochum, Germany

O65 The need for ethics. Professional perspectives on work and health

Inge den Besten¹, Medard Hilhorst¹, Jim Faas³, Noks Nauta², André Weel²

1Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands, 2Netherlands Society of Occupational Medicine (NVAB), Utrecht, Netherlands, 3Netherlands Society of Social Insurance Medicine (NVVG), Utrecht, Netherlands

O66 Value-based paragraphs in guidelines dealing with ethical perspectives on work and health

Noks Nauta¹, André Weel¹, Inge den Besten³, Jim Faas², Medard Hilhorst³

1Netherlands Society of Occupational Medicine (NVAB), Utrecht, Netherlands, 2Netherlands Society of Social Insurance Medicine (NVVG), Utrecht, Netherlands, 3Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, Netherlands

O67 Promoting guideline based interventions in mental health-investigating a model that incorporates individual and organisational theories of change

Anne-Laure Couineau

1Australian Centre for Posttraumatic Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 2National Institute of Clinical Studies, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

O68 Applying social learning theory and a knowledge translation framework results in guidelines implementation, change in clinical practice, behaviours and patient outcomes.

Mary Bell¹, Lucie Brosseau², Sydney Lineker³

1University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 3The Arthritis Society, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

O69 Guidelines as Knowledge Translation (KT): Activities at the Canadian Partnership against Cancer

Louise Zitzelsberger¹, Margaret Harrison², Melissa Brouwers³, George Browman⁴, Barbara Poole⁵, Walley Temple¹

1Canadian Partnership against Cancer, Ottawa ON, Canada, 2Queens University, Kingston ON, Canada, 3McMaster University, Hamilton ON, Canada, 4British Columbia Cancer Agency, Victoria BC, Canada, 5British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver BC, Canada, 6Alberta Health Services, Calgary AB, Canada

Room Descobrimentos portugueses

National guideline program II

L 6 Twelve years of clinical practice guideline development, dissemination and evaluation in Canada (1994 to 2005)

Jennifer Kryworuchko¹, Dawn Stacey¹, Nan Bai², Ian D Graham^{3,1}

1University of Ottawa School of Nursing, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2Canadian Medical Association, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 3Knowledge Translation Portfolio, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

O70 Method for the development of national health care guidelines in Austria

Eva Baumer

Gesundheit Österreich GmbH/Bundesinstitut für Qualität im Gesundheitswesen, Vienna, Austria

O71 Involving children in guideline development - an innovative, multi-method pilot project

Jenny Gordon¹, Roz Ullman²

1RCN, Oxford, United Kingdom, 2NCC-WCH, London, United Kingdom

O72 Poor agreement on assessing the quality of clinical practice guidelines in France

Emmanuel Nouyrigat, Joëlle André-Vert, Catherine Solomon-Alexander, Brice Kitio, Patrice Dosquet

National Authority for Health, Saint-Denis, France

O73 Teaching Guideline Developers Up-to-Date Guideline Methodology: The Canadian Thoracic Society Experience

Samir Gupta^{1,5}, Paul Hernandez^{2,5}, Margaret Harrison⁴, Elena Goubanova⁵, Louis-Philippe Boulet^{3,5}

1University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, 2Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia, Canada, 3Laval University, Quebec, Canada, 4Queen's University, Kingston, Canada, 5Canadian Lung Association, Ottawa, Canada

Main Auditorium

Evidence and quality of guidelines

O74 Imprecision: Limitations of Using GRADE to Rate the Quality of Evidence on Adverse Effects - a case study

Toni PY Tan, Elizabeth J Shaw

National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence (NICE), Manchester, United Kingdom

O75 Current Status and Quality of Clinical Practice Guideline for last 10 years in

South Korea

Nam-Soon Kim¹, Seungsoo Sheem², Soo young Kim³, Min-Woo Jo⁴, Seon-Ha Kim⁴, Sang-II Lee⁴

1Dongguk University, College of Medicine, Gyeongju, Korea, 2Ajou University, School of Medicine, Suweon, Korea, 3Hallym University, Medical College, Seoul, Korea, 4University of Ulsan, Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

076 Applying GRADE to included studies in published Cochrane Reviews - experience from a national guideline on the pharmacological management of neuropathic pain

Toni PY Tan, Elizabeth J Shaw

National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence (NICE), Manchester, United Kingdom

077 Combining evidence from randomised controlled trials and non-randomised controlled studies with modified GRADE in the nocturnal enuresis guideline

Katrina Sparrow, Vanessa Nunes, Norma O'Flynn

National Clinical Guidelines Centre for Acute and Chronic Conditions, Royal College of Physicians, London, United Kingdom

Room Exposições

Guidelines crossing borders II

078 Guideline adaptation in practice: preliminary results from the evaluation of the use of the ADAPTE framework and process

Rachel Voellinger¹, Béatrice Fervers², Bernard Burnand¹, ADAPTE Group¹

1CHUV & University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2Centre Léon Bérard, University of Lyon, Lyon, France

079 Refinement of the ADAPTE manual and process to fit the needs of the CoCanCPG partners

Wiebke Hoffmann¹, Michel Laurence², Uwe Hasenbein¹, Michael Kulig¹, Alric Rüter¹, Najoua Mlika-Cabanne²

1Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Healthcare, Cologne, North Westphalia, Germany, 2Haute Autorité de santé, St Denis La Plaine Cedex, France

080 Application of the CoCanCPG (Coordination of Cancer Clinical Practice Guidelines in Europe)-ADAPTE manual on prostate cancer CPG of the French National Cancer Institute (INCa).

Clotilde Séblain-El Guerche¹, Valérie Mazeau-Woynar¹, Uwe Hasenbein², Wiebke Hoffmann², Michael Külig², Alric Rüter², Michel Laurence³, Najoua Mlika-Cabanne³

1Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Boulogne Billancourt, France, 2Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany, 3Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS), Saint denis, France

081 Saving money, time and preserving quality of guideline. Italian SNLG experience in using ADAPTE

Cristina Morciano¹, Daniela Spettoli², Simona Di Mario², Paola Laricchiuta¹, Vittorio Basevi²

1Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy, 2Centro per la Valutazione dell'efficacia dell'assistenza sanitaria, Modena, Italy

O82 Benefits and limitations of the ADAPTE process for guideline adaptation: the experience of developing a venous

Sonja Hood¹, Agnes Wilson¹, Philippa F Middleton², Rebecca Tooher², Susan M Phillips¹, Michael Frommer³

1National Institute of Clinical Studies/National Health and Medical Research Council, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 2ARCH: Australian Research Centre for Health of Women and Babies, Women's and Children's Hospital, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia, 3The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

O83 Adapting the ADAPTE Framework

Christa Harstall¹, Paul Taenzer², Carmen Moga¹, Donna Angus³, Ann Scott¹

1Institute of Health Economics, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, 2Calgary Health Region Chronic Pain Centre, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 3Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

WORKSHOPS

Room Brasil

W10 What tools in the toolbox? Supporting effective patient and public involvement in guidelines

Antoine Boivin¹, France Légaré², Trudy van der Weijden³, Chrstine Pakenham⁴, Sylvie Tapp², Jako Burgers¹

1Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 2Canada Research Chair in Implementation of Shared Decision Making in Primary Care, Université Laval, Québec, Canada, 3School for Public Health and Primary Care (Caphri), Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands, 4Ministère de la Santé et des Services Sociaux du Québec, Québec, Canada

Room Timor

W11 Integrating evidence and recommendations related to health allied professionals and nurses in multidisciplinary clinical guidelines

Philip van der Wees^{1,2}, Antonio Lopes^{1,3}, Sarah Bazin^{1,4}, Maria Augusta de Sousa⁵, Jan Custers², Else Poot⁶, Dunja Dreesens⁷, Jenny Gordon¹⁰

1European Region of the World Confederation for Physical Therapy (ER-WCPT), Brussels, Belgium, 2Koninklijk Nederlands Genootschap voor Fysiotherapie (KNGF; Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy), Amersfoort, Netherlands, 3Associação Portuguesa de Fisioterapeutas (APF; Association of Portuguese Physiotherapists), S. Domingo de Rana, Portugal, 4Chartered Society of Physiotherapy (CSP), London, United Kingdom, 5Bastonária da Ordem dos Enfermeiros (Portuguese Order of Nursing), Lisboa, Portugal, 6Landelijk Expertisecentrum Verpleging & Verzorging (LEVV; Netherlands Centre of Excellence in Nursing), Utrecht, Netherlands, 7ZonMw, Nederlandse organisatie voor gezondheidsonderzoek en zorginnovatie (Netherlands organisation for health research and development), Den Haag, Netherlands, 8Maastricht University, Caphri Research Institute, Maastricht, Netherlands, 9UMC St Radboud, IQ healthcare, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 10Royal College of Nursing, Oxford, United Kingdom

Room Sociedade Científica

W12 A quality-driven, pragmatic approach to crafting guideline action statements and evidence profiles

Richard Rosenfeld^{1,2}, Richard Shiffman³

1SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, United States, 2American Academy of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Alexandria, VA, United States, 3Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, United States

Main Auditorium

15:45 – 16:30 Coffee break and poster presentation

16:30 – 18:00 Annual General Meeting

19:30 – 00:00 Gala Dinner – Mercado da Ribeira

Wednesday November 4th

8:30 – 15:00 Registration

9:00–10:30 PLENARY IV / Guidelines, policies and politics

Impact of guideline programmes on health policies in Europe - *Liisa-Maria Voipio-Pulkki / Finland*

Guideline programme & health policies in Mexico - *Carlos Jimenez / Mexico*

Clinical practice guideline development and implementation – policies and national approaches in Australia - *Heather Buchan / Australia*

10:30 – 11:15 Coffee break and poster presentation

11:15 – 13:00 Parallel sessions / Workshops

PARALLEL SESSIONS

Room Expansão Missionária

[Guidelines implementation III](#)

O84 Adapting Guidelines for Implementation: A Process Evaluation of Five Canadian Case Studies

Margaret B. Harrison^{1,2}, on behalf of CAN-ADAPTE Project Team²

1Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, 2Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

O85 The Consultation Period as an Implementation Tool for Guidelines - Methods and Results

Liat Fishman, Claudia Jung, Susanne Weinbrenner, Günter Ollenschläger

German Agency for Quality in Medicine, Berlin, Germany

O86 Linking evidence to practice: Development of a Stroke and Transient Ischaemic Attack (TIA) care bundle for Australian Emergency Departments

Sue Huckson¹, Annette Lenstra¹, Jay Weeraratne²,

1National Health and Medical Research Council, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 2Angliss Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

O87 Development, validation and implementation process for clinical practice guidelines in the Supplementary Health in Brazil

Martha Oliveira¹, Karla Coelho¹, Rochele Santos¹, Jorge Carvalho¹, Wanderley Bernardo², Alfredo Scaff¹, Fausto dos Santos¹

1Agência Nacional de Saúde Suplementar, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, Brazil

O88 Long-term adherence to a local guideline: lessons learnt about implementation

Hester Vermeulen, Marja Storm-Versloot, Anouk Knops, Dirk Ubbink, Astrid Goossens,

Academic Medical Center at the University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

O89 Health care professionals' job characteristics and attitudes towards clinical guidelines

Tiina Kortteisto¹, Heidi Korhonen¹, Minna Kaila^{1,2}, Marko Elovainio², Pekka Rissanen¹

1University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 2National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

Room Descobrimentos portugueses

[Guidelines and quality indicators II](#)

O90 Integrating Guidelines Into A Larger Quality Framework: The Case Of Cancer Care Ontario's Program In Evidence-based Care

Melissa Brouwers, Sheila McNair, on behalf of the Program in Evidence-based Care Team

Program in Evidence-based Care, Cancer Care Ontario, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

O91 Making the Case for Cross-Pollination Between Quality Measures and Clinical Practice Guidelines

Mary Nix¹, Vivian Coates², Lisa Haskell², Mark Monteforte²

1Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD, United States, 2ECRI Institute, Plymouth Meeting, PA, United States

O92 Developing a Population-Based Knowledge Management Framework for the Alberta Radiation Therapy Corridor

Rosmin Esmail, Neil Hagen, Peter Craighead

Alberta Health Services-Cancer Corridor, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

O93 Does belonging to a regional quality network influence guideline implementation as measured by quality indicators derived from guidelines?

Sophie Calmus, Mélanie Couralet, Christine Gardel, Raymond Le Moign

Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis, Ile-de-France, France

O94 Recommendations for creating a strategic approach to sustainable guideline development

Helen Zorbas, Alison Pearce, Rosemary Wade, Vivienne Milch, Katrina Anderson, Ornella Care, Anne Nelson

National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Surry Hills, NSW, Australia

O95 Virtual Health Check in Evaluating the Adherence to Clinical Guidelines

Jorma Komulainen^{1,2}, Tiina Kortteisto³, Peter Nyberg¹, Minna Kaila², Ilkka Kunnamo¹

1Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Helsinki, Finland, 2National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, 3University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland

[Guidelines and patient involvement II](#)

O96 Increasing public access to clinical practice guidelines for rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis

Mary Bell^{1,2}, Lucie Brosseau³, Sydney Lineker⁴

1Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 3University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 4The Arthritis Society, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

O97 Getting a Grip on Arthritis©: Evaluation of a National Community-Based Educational Intervention to Improve Primary Health Care (PHC) Management of Arthritis: Impact on Patients

Mary Bell^{1,2}, Sydney Lineker¹, Paula Veinot¹, Kunyong Xu¹, Elizabeth Badley^{1,2}

1Arthritis Community Research Evaluation Unit, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

O98 A common toolkit for Health Professionals and Patients: multidisciplinary protocols for French primary care trusts

Claudie Locquet, Gersende Georg

National Authority for Health, Saint-Denis La Plaine, France

O99 Quality management for patient guidelines

Corinna Schaefer¹, Kirschning Silke¹, Lang Britta², Saenger Sylvia¹, Ollenschlaeger Guenter¹
1Agency for Quality in Medicine, Berlin, Germany, 2German Cochrane Centre, Freiburg, Germany

O100 Why is the involvement of patients in clinical practice guidelines in mental health so necessary? Our experience with a CPG of Insomnia in Primary Health Care

Petra Diaz del Campo, Javier Gracia, Fatima Izquierdo, Beatriz Nieto, Raquel Luengo, Juan Antonio Blasco
Agencia Lain Entralgo, Madrid, Spain

WORKSHOPS

Room Exposições

W13 Indicators: the power of measuring - scaring or caring

Eeva Ketola, Raija Sipilä, Mari Honkanen
The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Current Care, Helsinki, Finland

Room Brasil

W14 Proactive clinical guideline development using systems approach

Bibifatemeh Hayerizadeh^{1,2}, Babak Foorotan^{1,2}, Mahsa Moinsadat^{1,3}, Setare Raeisi¹, Modjtaba Soleimaniha^{1,4}
1Task Force on Management Development in Healthcare, Tehran, Iran, Islamic Republic of, 2Joint Commission on Life Support, Tehran, Iran, Islamic Republic of, 3Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran, Islamic Republic of, 4Industrial Management Institute, Department of System Engineering, Tehran, Iran, Republic of Islamic

Room Timor

W15 Towards more efficient guideline development and implementation: experiences with the use of the ADAPTE process

Jako Burgers, Béatrice Fervers, Bernard Burnand, Mellissa Brouwers, George Browman, Rosmin Esmail, Ian Graham, Margaret Harrison, Jean Latreille, Najoua Mlika-Cabanne, Louis Paquet, Joan Vlayen, Louise Zitzelsberger
ADAPTE Collaboration, International

Room Sociedade Científica

W16 Designing and testing a programme for multidisciplinary guideline development

Haske van Veenendaal¹, Dunja Dreesens², Jako Burgers³, Jannes van Everdingen⁴
1CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2ZonMw, The Hague, Netherlands, 3IQ Healthcare, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 4Raad Kwaliteit van Zorg, The Hague, Netherlands

13:00 – 14:15 Lunch

14:15 – 15:45 Poster prizes, Final speaker and closing ceremony

Plenary Speakers

PLENARY I / Knowledge transfer between countries

Alessandro Liberati

Knowledge transfer and cultural differences

About the presenter

Alessandro Liberati MD, MPH, Associate Professor, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia; Director, Italian Cochrane Centre; consultant of the Regional Health and Social Care Agency, Bologna Italy

Alessandro is a member of the GRADE working group and works with guideline developers in Italy and internationally. His areas of interests include methodology of systematic reviews and evaluation of translation of research results into clinical practice. He is co-convenor of the PRISMA working group which produced PRISMA (Preferred Items for Reporting of Systematic Reviews and Metanalyses), a new guidance for reporting of systematic reviews

and meta-analyses of health care interventions

Dr. Rodrigo Pardo

Local and regional variables are important in the clinical guidelines developing process

About the presenter

MD, MSc is a neurologist and clinical epidemiologist at the National University in Colombia. His work is focused in development of clinical guidelines in a developing country and in making clear the critical points in the whole process. Dr. Pardo is leading a regional model in Spanish language to help new developers achieving success.

Dr. Rodrigo Pardo MD, MSc is a neurologist and clinical epidemiologist at the National University in Colombia. His work is focused in development of clinical guidelines in a developing country and in making clear the critical points in the whole process. Dr. Pardo is leading a regional model in Spanish language to help new developers achieving success.

Albertino Damasceno

Different needs for guidelines: diseases, medicines & economics

About the presenter

Albertino Damasceno MD, PhD, FESC, Associate Professor, Eduardo Mondlane University, Maputo, Mozambique. Head, Cardiac Department, Maputo Central Hospital.

Albertino Damasceno is a cardiologist and Professor of Medicine at the Faculty of Medicine of the Eduardo Mondlane University in Maputo, Mozambique. He has been invited to participate in several working groups of WHO, some for the development of guidelines adapted to poorer environments. His areas of interests include hypertension and salt sensitivity, heart failure, stroke and cardiovascular epidemiology.

PLENARY II /Developments in guideline development

Françoise Cluzeau

Evidence and recommendation grading in guidelines: a short history

About the presenter

Françoise Cluzeau, BA, MSc, PhD is a Senior Adviser at NICE International where she is responsible for designing and delivering on guidelines and HTA projects with client countries. For six years she was a technical advisor to the NICE guidelines Programme with responsibility for the guidelines manual. She led the AGREE collaboration and she is the chair of the AGREE Research trust (ART). She is a member of the GRADE Group and of the G-I-N Advisory Group. She holds a lectureship at St George's University of London.

Holger Schünemann

The practice of GRADE and why guidance developers use it

About the presenter

Holger Schünemann, M.D., Ph.D., M.Sc., FRCP(C)
Chair, Department of Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics
Michael Gent Chair in Healthcare Research
Professor of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Medicine
Holger is co-chair of the GRADE working group. He works with guideline developers around the world and serves as documents editor for the American Thoracic Society, the prime international society for research and education in respiratory disease, where he is responsible for overseeing the publication of all official statements of the society, including guidelines.

Robin T. Harbour

Conference abstract: applying the GRADE process in a national guidelines programme

About the presenter

Robin T. Harbour, Quality & Information Director, SIGN
Mr. Harbour trained as a librarian, and since graduating in 1968 has worked in a range of scientific and technical organisations. He has also gained an honours degree in biology through part-time study, as well as various management and language qualifications. He has been involved with information retrieval since the introduction of online computerised information systems in the early 1970s. He is the author of a (now very dated) book on library automation, as well as several articles on literature searching and guideline methodology.
Since 1996 he has worked for the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, and was responsible for much of the early development of their methodology. His main current responsibilities are the development of the systematic review process, and methods used for the grading of recommendations, as well as the development of quality assurance procedures for the guideline development process.

PLENARY III /Patients and guidelines

Karen Facey

INVOLVEMENT OF PATIENTS AND CITIZENS: HTAi EXPERIENCE

About the presenter

Karen Facey PhD is an Honorary Senior Research Fellow in the Department of Public Health and Health Policy at the University of Glasgow and Honorary Member of the Faculty of Public Health in the UK. Following a career as a statistician in the pharmaceutical sector, Karen has worked for the past nine years in health technology assessment (HTA), which provides a bridge for evidence and knowledge to inform healthcare policy. She has developed a passion for bringing patient perspectives into the HTA process and since its inception in 2005 has chaired the Interest Group on Patient/Citizen Involvement in HTA for Health Technology Assessment International (HTAi).

Carol Sakala

Public involvement in health care quality improvement: a consumer/advocate perspective

About the presenter

Carol Sakala, PhD, MSPH, has worked for over 25 years to improve the quality of maternity care, with a continuous focus on best interests of women and families. She is Director of Programs at Childbirth Connection, of New York City, a 91-year-old national not-for-profit organization that works to improve the quality of maternity care through research, education, advocacy and policy (<http://www.childbirthconnection.org>). She is a public member of the Guidelines International Network Patient and Public Involvement Working Group Steering Committee.

Antoine Boivin

Involving publics in guidelines: where next?

About the presenter

Dr Antoine Boivin, MD, MSc, PhD(c), CCFP, is a Canadian family physician and a doctoral candidate at the Scientific Institute for Quality of Healthcare in the Netherlands. His research work focuses on the role of patients and the public in health services delivery and quality. Since its creation in 2007, Dr Boivin acts as Scientific content leader for the Guideline International Network Patient and Public Involvement Working Group.

PLENARY IV / Guidelines, policies and politics

Liisa-Maria Voipio-Pulkki

Guideline programme and health policies in Europe

About the presenter:

Liisa-Maria Voipio-Pulkki MD. PhD
Specialist in internal medicine and cardiology.
Currently senior medical adviser, The Association of Finnish Local and Regional Authorities in Helsinki, Finland, and adjunct professor of medicine, University of Turku, Finland.
Member of the Board and Presidential Committee, European Hospital and Healthcare Association (HOPE).
Formerly physician-in-chief, Department of Emergency and Acute Care, Helsinki University Central Hospital.
Research interests include clinical and experimental cardiology; organization of acute health care, continuous professional development and clinical practice guideline implementation.

Carlos Jimenez

Guideline Program & Health Policies in Mexico

Cochrane Collaboration Center at the National Institute of Pediatrics. Mexican Cochrane Network. Iberoamerican Cochrane Network. Mexico. cjimenez62@gmail.com

Heather Buchan

"Clinical practice guideline development and implementation – policies and national approaches in Australia

About the presenter:

Dr Heather Buchan currently works for the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, providing advice on the redevelopment of their clinical guidelines program. She was previously Chief Executive Officer at the National Institute of Clinical Studies, an organisation funded by the Australian government to improve the uptake of best available evidence into current clinical practice. She trained in New Zealand as a public health physician specialising in medical management and became interested in improving uptake of research knowledge while undertaking post-graduate studies in epidemiology as an Oxford Nuffield Medical Scholar. She has worked in New Zealand, the United Kingdom and Australia in hospital, academic and government sectors.

POSTERS

P1 Updating a guideline on breast cancer

Paula Manchon-Walsh, Josep M^a Borrás, Tarsila Ferro, Josep A. Espinas
Catalan Cancer Plan, Catalonia, Spain

P2 Priority settings in primary and specialized healthcare: the development of a National guideline for individual primary prevention of cardiovascular disease

Ole Frithjof Norheim, Bjørn Gjelsvik, Tor Ole Klemsdal, Steinar Madsen, Eivind Meland, Stein Narvesen, Anne Negaard, Inger Njølstad, Serena Tonstad, Frøydis Ulvin
1University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, 2University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, 3Ullevål University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, 4The Norwegian Medicines Agency, Oslo, Norway, 5University Of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, 6Norwegian patient organization for lung and heart disease, Oslo, Norway, 7The Norwegian Directorate of Health, Oslo, Norway, 8University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway, 9University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, 10Norwegian patient organization for lung and heart disease, Oslo, Norway

P3 Methods to improve guideline quality in Hungary

Eva Dobos, Ildiko Szy, Barnabas Margitay, Andrea Rita Horvath
TUDOR Network, Szeged, Hungary

P4 Treating children with unlicensed or off-label medicines: recommendations in clinical guidelines

Judith Thornton
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Manchester, United Kingdom

P5 Use of GRADE when making recommendations

Gunn Elisabeth Vist¹, Pablo Alonso-Coello², Signe Flottorp¹, Yngve Falck-Ytter³, Regina

Kunz4, Gordon Guyatt5, Andy Oxman1, Holger Schunemann5
1Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway, 2Iberoamerican Cochrane Centre, Barcelona, Spain, 3Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, United States, 4University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 5McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

P6 Modification of the Graphical Appraisal Tool for Epidemiology (GATE) for guideline development

Steven Caldwell1, Anita Fitzgerald1, Rod Jackson1,2, Alla Grynevych1
1New Zealand Guidelines Group, Wellington, New Zealand, 2University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

P7 A comparison study of a nutritional program education effect on quality of life in haemodialysis patients referred in educational hospitals in Urmia-Iran in 2008

Nader Aghakhani, Narges Rahbar, Aram Feizi
Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia-West Azarbaijan, Iran, Republic of Islamic

P8 How can we improve guideline utilization? A conceptual framework of implementability

Anna R Gagliardi1, Melissa C Brouwers2, Valerie A Palda1, Louise Lemieux-Charles1, Jeremy M Grimshaw3
1University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 3University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

P9 Clinical decision rules in guidelines: a comparison of methods used in national programmes

Elizabeth Shaw, Tim Stokes, Lynda Ayiku
National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Manchester, United Kingdom

P10 Providing information document based on clinical practice guidelines for GPs: the example of bariatric surgery

Valérie Lindecker, Jean Brami, Frank Stora
Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis, France

P11 Evidence based medicine in Finnish occupational health care

Maritta Kinnunen-Amoroso, Juha Liira
Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, French Southern Territories

P12 Models for clinical practice guidelines development: A Systematic review and comparative evaluation

Rodrigo Pardo, Juan Sebastian Castillo, Ludovic Reveiz, Marcela Torres, Paola Andrea Mosquera, Jefferson Antonio Buendia, Diana Rocio Tellez
National University of Colombia, Clinical Research Institute, Methods for Clinical Practice Guidelines Group, Bogota, Colombia

P13 Incremental cost effectiveness ratios for interventions in mental health guidelines

Francis Ruiz, Stefanie Kinsley, Philip Alderson
NICE, London, United Kingdom

P14 Implementing stroke guidelines by telemedicine service

Sari Atula^{1,2}, Tiina Sairanen², Turgut Tatlisuma²

1Duodecim medical publishing house, Helsinki, Finland, 2Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

P15 Proposal of a method to shorten the development time of clinical practice guidelines

Valérie Lindecker, Najoua Mlika-Cabanne, Emmanuelle Blondet

Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis, France

P16 Adaptation of Intrapartum Care Guideline for the local context in Spain

Itziar Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta¹, Rosa Rico-Iturrioz¹, Luis Fernández-Llebregz², Rosario Quintana-Pantaleón¹, Gerardo Atienza-Merino³, María Maceiras-Rozas³, Angel Salgado-Barreira³

1Agency for HTA-OSTEBA. Health Dep. Basque Country, Gasteiz, Araba, Spain, 2Basque Health Service. Osakidetza. Cruces Hospital, Barakaldo, Bizkaia, Spain, 3Agency for HTA-AVALIA-t, Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain

P17 Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (CPGs): how traceable is the evidence base of recommendations?

Marion Danner, Wiebke Hoffmann, Nicole Holzmann, Michaela Eikermann, Alric Rüter, Carmen Bartel

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany

P18 Laying the foundation for national clinical guidelines in Saudi Arabia

Hayfaa Wahabi, Lubna Al-Ansary

King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

P19 Assessment of clinical practice guidelines for depression in Children & Adolescent, using the agree instrument

Gerardo Atienza, Maria del Carmen Maceira, Lucinda Paz-Valiñas, Angel Salgado

Galician Agency for Health Technology Assessment, Santiago de Compostela (A Coruña), Spain

P20 Self-reported use of clinical guidelines in Finnish primary and secondary care

Tiina Kortteisto¹, Minna Kaila^{1,2}, Taina Mäntyranta³, Pekka Rissanen¹

1University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 2National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, 3Centre for Pharmacotherapy Development, Helsinki, Finland

P21 Development of a questionnaire to assess the attitude towards CPGs

Itziar Perez, Esther Torres, Idoia Alcorta

Basque Health Service, San Sebastian, Spain

P22 Does the methodological quality of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines differ from non-evidence-based guidelines?

Wiebke Hoffmann, Vera Weingärtner, Ulrich Siering

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Healthcare, Cologne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany

P23 National guideline for individual prevention of cardiovascular disease - the introduction of GRADE

Anne Negaard, Signe Flottorp, Gunn E Vist, Ole Frithjof Norheim

1The Norwegian Directorate of Health, Oslo, Norway, 2Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway, 3Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway, 4University of Bergen, Oslo, Norway

P24 Addressing equality issues in clinical guidelines

Nichole Taske, Nick Doyle, Phil Alderson, Chris Carson

NICE, London, United Kingdom

P25 Putting Prevention into Practice: the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care

Kerry Robinson¹, Kimberly Elmslie¹, Catherine Makris¹, Ian Graham², John Feightner³

1Public Health Agency of Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 2Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 3University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

P26 Guideline "Recommendations for the promotion of therapeutic adherence in the treatment and metabolic control of type 1 diabetes mellitus in adolescence"

Ana Teresa Silva, Susana Galvinas, Cândida Ferrito

Escola Superior de Saúde de Setúbal, Setúbal, Portugal

P27 Patient Involvement in Finland - Experiences of Patient Organization Collaboration in Guideline Development and Implementation

Tiina Tala, Mari Honkanen, Raija Sipilä, Eeva Ketola

Current Care, Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Helsinki, Finland

P28 Inside French Clinical Practice Guidelines' Quality

Brice Kitio, Joëlle André-Vert, Catherine Solomon-Alexander, Emmanuel Nouyrigat, Patrice Dosquet

National Authority for Health, Saint-Denis, France

P29 Effect of Incorporating Diagnostic Imaging Guidelines Into A Computerized Order Entry System

Martin Reed

Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Children's Hospital, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

P30 Scientist Knowledge Translation Training (SKTT) Workshop: Developing Knowledge Translation Plans for Research

Melanie Barwick^{1,2}, Donna Lockett¹

1The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

P31 Presenting and reporting network meta-analyses in clinical guidelines

Stefanie Kinsley¹, David Wonderling², Philip Alderson¹

1National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, United Kingdom, 2National Clinical Guideline Centre – Acute and Chronic Conditions, London, United Kingdom

P32 Prospective comparison of three different methods of guideline development for treatment of actinic keratosis.

Rinke Borgonjen¹, Jannes Van Everdingen¹, Phyllis Spuls², Peter Van de Kerkhof³
1NVDV, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2AMC, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 3UMC St. Radboud, Nijmegen, Netherlands

P33 Evidence-based treatments in the rehabilitation of patients with depression – a literature review

Jörg Dirmaier, Thomas Krattenmacher, Birgit Watzke, Dina Barghaan, Uwe Koch, Holger Schulz
Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

P34 Implementation of clinical guidelines: which knowledge base for the interventions?

Øystein Eiring¹, Anne Landheim²
1Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway, 2Centre for Addiction Issues, Hamar, Norway

P35 Quality Issues in Literature Searching

Michele Hilton Boon¹, Kitty Rosenbrand³
1SIGN, NHS Quality Improvement Scotland, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, 2Institut National du Cancer, Paris, France, 3Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement CBO, Utrecht, Netherlands

P36 Developing methods for appraisal of content quality of clinical practice guidelines (CPGs): checking consistency of CPG recommendations

Vera Weingärtner, Ulrich Siering, Alric Rütger
Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, Germany

P37 Does the development process of clinical practice guidelines influence implementability?

Wiebke Hoffmann, Marion Danner, Uwe Hasenbein, Alric Rütger
Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care, Cologne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany

P38 Cultural Safety: A Critical Cultural Lens in the Development of a Best Practice Guideline

Elaine Santa Mina¹, Beth Hamer², Josephine Muxlow³, Victoria Smye⁴
1Ryerson University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 2Mental Health Centre Penetanguishene, Penetanguishene, Ontario, Canada, 3Dalhousie University School of Nursing, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, 4University of British Columbia, Vancouver British Columbia, Canada

P39 Clinical indicators for acute coronary syndrome from first symptoms up to 1 year follow up

Armelle Desplanques-Leperre, Linda Banaei-Bouchareb, Marie Erbault, Nathalie Riolacci-Dhoyen, Laurent Degos and the task Force
HAS, Saint-Denis, France

P40 Impact of an Active Dissemination Strategy for Clinical Practice Guidelines on

Acute Coronary Syndrome in Manila Doctors Hospital

Vim Samonte, Mary Cheryl Larona

1Manila Doctors Hospital, Manila, Philippines, 2UP-Philippine General Hospital, Manila, Philippines

P41 Factors associated with the use of guidelines in nurses' and physicians' groups

Tiina Kortteisto¹, Minna Kaila^{1,2}, Marjukka Mäkelä², Pekka Rissanen¹

1University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, 2National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

P42 Methodology for selecting and drawing up indicators for inclusion in clinical practice guidelines

Teresa Cerdá¹, Yolanda Triñanes¹, Lucinda Paz-Valiñas¹, Gerardo Atienza¹, María Alvarez-Ariza²

1Galician Agency for Health Technology Assessment (avalía-t), Santiago de Compostela (A Coruña), Spain, 2Complejo Hospitalario de Pontevedra, Pontevedra, Spain

P43 Clinical Guidelines in the Nordic countries

Kristiina Patja^{1,2}, Elina Heikkilä¹, Eeva Ketola¹, JanSigurd Rotnes⁴, Lisbet Hoeg-Jensen⁵, Lena Weilandt⁶, Tanja Laukkala^{1,3}

1Current Care Guidelines, Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, www.kaypahoito.fi, Helsinki, Finland, 2Association for Medical Continuous Professional Development in Finland, Pro Medico, Helsinki, Finland, 3Centre for Military Medicine, Lahti, Finland, Helsinki, Finland, 4Norway, Oslo, Norway, 5ational Board of Health, Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark, 6The National Board of Health and Welfare, Sweden, Stockholm, Sweden

P44 Encouraging the implementation of clinical practice guidelines among dental surgeons in France

Christian Boissier, Rose Derenne

HAS, Saint-Denis, France

P45 Dissemination and Implementation of Low Back Pain Guideline – an integrated knowledge transfer approach

Donna Angus, Carmen Moga, Paul Taenzer, Christa Harstall

Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, Edmonton, Canada

P46 Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines in Japan: A movement of its development and revision

Hiromichi SUZUKI

International Medical Information Center, Tokyo, Japan

P47 Implementation of interprofessional evidence based protocols in Family Health Teams in Ontario

Jess Rogers¹, Joanne Goldman², Jamie Meuser³, Lynne Lawrie¹, Scott Reeves²

1Centre for Effective Practice, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada, 3Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

P48 Development of National Health Care Guidelines in Austria

Eva Baumer

Gesundheit Österreich GmbH/Bundesinstitut für Qualität im Gesundheitswesen, Vienna, Austria

P49 Deployment and Evaluation of Innovative Knowledge Translation Tools for Pharmacy Practice Research

Marie-Anik Gagné, Kelly Hogan

Canadian Pharmacists Association, Ottawa, Canada

P50 Reviewing the guideline development process – a quest for innovation and sustainability

Helen Zorbas, Alison Pearce, Rosemary Wade, Katrina Anderson, Vivienne Milch, Ornella Care, Anne Nelson

National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre, Surry Hills, NSW, Australia

P51 Preparing guidelines for medical decision support

Leo van Romunde

CBO, Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

P52 Role of High Resolution Sonography and Color Doppler in Assessment of Breast Nodules and Its Histopathological Correlation

Neelam Gauba, Arvinder Singh, Sohan Singh, Gian Singh Shergill, Sarika Dua

GMC, AMRITSAR, India

P53 Content of Evidence Summaries – Is There a Risk for Distortion of Evidence?

Mari Honkanen, Eeva Ketola

The Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Helsinki, Finland

P54 Diagnosis in primary care: example using data from a clinical guideline

Elizabeth Shaw, Robby Richey, Tim Stokes

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Manchester, United Kingdom

P55 First step towards implementing biomechanical assessment of the knee into a clinical context

Nathaly Gaudreault¹, Stéphane Poitras²

1Université de Sherbrooke, Faculté de médecine et des Sciences de la Santé, École de réadaptation, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada, 2Université d'Ottawa, École des Sciences de la réadaptation, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

P56 Innovative guideline development. A web based transparency for expert opinion based recommendations

Margreet Pols¹, André Wolff², Mariëlle Ouwens³, Fred Balhuizen⁴, Teus van Barneveld¹

1Dutch Association of Medical Specialists, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2Dept. of Anesthesiology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 3IQ Healthcare, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands, 4Synmind, Haarlem, Netherlands

P57 How to convince practitioners on the importance of evidence: the case of venous thromboembolism in Australian hospitals

Sonja Hood, Jodie Clydesdale, Amy Goodwin, Tanyth de Gooyer, Zoe Kelly, Agnes Wilson, Susan Phillips

National Health and Medical Research Council, Melbourne, Australia

P58 National guidelines, local implementation: prevention of venous thromboembolism in Australia

Sonja Hood, Jodie Clydesdale, Amy Goodwin, Tanyth de Gooyer, Zoe Kelly, Agnes Wilson, Susan Phillips

National Health and Medical Research Council, Melbourne, Australia

P59 Is there an international standard for the appraisal of content quality of clinical practice guidelines?

Michaela Eikermann, Uwe Hasenbein, Elke Hausner, Alric R  ther

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany

P60 Work and health in clinical guidelines

Carel Hulshof^{1,2}, Andre Weel¹, Dunja Dreesens³, Ira Madan⁴

1Netherlands Society of Occupational Medicine, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2Academic Medical Center, Coronel Institute of Occupational Health, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 3ZonMw, Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, Den Haag, Netherlands, 4NHS Plus, London, United Kingdom

P61 Multifaceted strategies may increase implementation of physiotherapy clinical guidelines: a systematic review

Philip Van der Wees^{1,2}, Gro Jamtvedt³, Trudy Rebeck⁴, Rob De Bie¹, Joost Dekker⁵, Erik Hendriks¹

1Maastricht University, Caphri Research Institute, Maastricht, Netherlands, 2Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy (KNGF), Amersfoort, Netherlands, 3Norwegian Knowledge Center for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway, 4University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, 5VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 6UMC St Radboud, IQ healthcare, Nijmegen, Netherlands

P62 From Guidelines to Incentives for Performance in the UK: the NICE Quality and Outcomes Framework Indicator Programme

Tim Stokes, Nicola Bent, Justine Karpuscheff

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), Manchester, United Kingdom

P63 Stirring up multidisciplinary guideline development; how innovative are guideline developers?

Dunja Dreesens¹, Rebecca Baines¹, Jannes van Everdingen²

1ZonMw, The Hague, Netherlands, 2Raad Kwaliteit van Zorg, The Hague, Netherlands

P64 Using information on current services to support evidence based guidelines - an example from a guideline on the process of donor milk banking

Elizabeth Shaw, Kathryn Chamberlain

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, Manchester, United Kingdom

P65 Barriers to the delivery and uptake of preconception care guidelines: what is important and what is changeable?

Danielle Mazza, Anna Chapman
Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

P66 Effects of Clinical Decision Support Systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a synthesis of systematic review findings

Marian Smeulers, Monique Jaspers, Hester Vermeulen
Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands

P67 Comparison of the implementation of clinical practice guidelines according to setting: stroke units and conventional wards

Eric Bodiguel¹, Roselyne Thiery², Gérard Lairy², Catherine Mayault², France Woimant³
1Centre Hospitalier Jacques Coeur, BOURGES, France, 2National Authority for Health, St Denis La Plaine, France, 3Centre Hospitalier Lariboisière, PARIS, France

P68 Translation, localisation and adaption of British Map of Medicine pathways to Danish clinical practice

Ann C. Lyngberg, Lisbeth Hoeg-Jensen, Helene Probst, Sandra Poulsen
National Board of Health, Copenhagen, Denmark

P69 When can recommendations for diagnostic procedures be derived from the linking of results from diagnostic and therapeutic trials?

Michaela Eikermann¹, Katharina Biester¹, Guido Skipka¹, Ulrich Grouven¹, Alric Rüter¹, Michael Kulig¹, Dagmar Lühmann², Stefan Lange¹
1Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), Cologne, Germany, 2Department of Social Medicine, Lübeck, Germany

P70 A protocol for the review and assessment of guideline documents to determine their priority for updating in the context of limited resources

Hans Messersmith^{1,2}, Xiaoyin Wang^{1,2}, Cindy Walker-Dilks^{1,2}, Karen Spithoff^{1,2}, Sheila McNair^{1,2}, Melissa Brouwers^{1,2}
1McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

P71 Are built-in Medline RCT filters enough when translating evidence?

Jaana Isojarvi¹, Leena Lodenius², Heidi Anttila¹, Iris Pasternack¹
1National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finnish Office for Health Technology Assessment, Helsinki, Finland, 2Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, Current Care, Helsinki, Finland

P72 A collaborative initiative to develop evidence-based practice in nursing and allied health : The "BEST" project

Sandrine Ding¹, Suzanne Cordier², Claire de Labrusse¹, Blanche Kiszio², Emmanuelle Opsommer¹, Béatrice Perrenoud⁴, Anne-Sylvie Ramelet¹, Nicole Richli Meystre¹, Susanna Weyermann-Etter³
1HECVSanté, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2HEdS La Source, Lausanne, Switzerland, 3HEdS Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland, 4CHUV, Lausanne, Switzerland

P73 Randomized Controlled Trial to Assess Strategies for Implementation of a

Clinical Guideline in a Primary Health Care Nursing Setting in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. A research protocol

Cândida Ferrito¹, Lucilia Nunes², António Vaz Carneiro³

1Escola Superior de Saúde, Ins. Politécnico Setúbal, Setúbal, Portugal, 2Escola Superior de Saúde, Ins. Politécnico Setúbal, Setúbal, Portugal, 3Centro de Estudos Medicina Baseada na Evidência, Faculdade de Medicina de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

P74 Do we need a comprehensive educational programme focused on clinical practice guidelines?

Radim Lícenik^{1,14}, Martin Faix^{1,3}, Darja Jarosova⁴, Daniela Jelenova^{5,6}, Michaela Kubinyiova Spackova^{7,8}, Tomas Kuhn^{9,10}, Pavel Kurfurst¹, Adelaide Michalcova¹¹, Jan Vodicka^{12,13}, Katerina Ivanova¹

1Centre for Clinical Practice Guidelines, Department of Social Sciences and Health Policy, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 2Faculty of Law, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic, 3Faculty of Law, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 4Faculty of Health Studies, Ostrava University, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 5Department of Child Psychiatry, Motol Teaching Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic, 6Faculty of Social Studies, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 7European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Stockholm, Sweden, 8Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany, 9Department of Pediatrics, Ostrava Teaching Hospital, Ostrava, Czech Republic, 10Faculty of Health Studies, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 11Department of Anaesthetics, Olomouc Teaching Hospital, Olomouc, Czech Republic, 12ENT Department, Pardubice Teaching Hospital, Pardubice, Czech Republic, 13Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove, Charles University, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic, 14Grantham and District Hospital, Grantham, United Kingdom

P75 Dosette Boxes to Multicompartment medicine systems-How a public consultation can influence guideline recommendations: an example of terminology use

Vanessa Nunes, Norma O'Flynn, Julie Neilson

Royal College of Physicians, National Clinical Guidelines Centre for Acute and Chronic Conditions, London, United Kingdom

P76 Updating the Epilepsies guideline- issues arising with scoping and evidence review plan

Vanessa Nunes, Julie Neilson, Norma O'Flynn, Jennifer Hill

Royal College of Physicians, National Clinical Guidelines Centre for Acute and Chronic Conditions, London, United Kingdom

P77 Adaptation as method for Clinical Practice Guideline development or implementation: A Systematic review update

Juan Sebastian Castillo, Paola Andrea Mosquera, Marcela Torres, Ludovic Reveiz, Diana Rocio Tellez, Jefferson Antonio Buendia, Rodrigo Pardo

National University of Colombia, Clinical Research Institute, Methods for Clinical Practice Guidelines Group, Bogota, Colombia

P78 Medication & Rationality – a practical example of reasonable pharmacotherapy and co-operation among various stakeholders

Susanne Fuehrlinger¹, Irmgard Schiller-Fruehwirth¹, Klaus Klaushofer^{1,2}

1Main Association of Austrian Social Insurance Institutions, Vienna, Austria, 24th Medical Department and Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Osteology, Hanusch Hospital, Vienna, Austria

P79 Guidelines in the Electronic Age

Martin Reed

Department of Diagnostic Imaging, Children's Hospital, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

P80 How to avoid gender bias in Clinical Practice Guidelines.: Applying the BIAS FREE Framework in the preparation of bias free CPGs

Rosa Rico¹, Elena Aldasoro¹, Flavia Salcedo², Mary Anne Burke³, Margrit Eichler³, Marta Barandiaran⁴, Marta Lopez-Argumedo¹, Montse Calvo¹, Unai Roncero⁵, Amaia Bazigalupe⁵, Eva Reviriego¹, Andoni Arcelay⁴

1Health Dep. Basque Country. Spain., Vitoria- Gasteiz, Spain, 2Aragon Institute for Health Sciences, Zaragoza, Spain, 3University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 4Osakidetza, Basque Health Service, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain, 5The University of the Basque Country, Leioa, Spain

P81 Developing a process guideline in the rehabilitation of patients with depression in Germany: Data analysis based on the Classification of Therapeutic Procedures (KTL)

Dina Barghaan, Jörg Dirmaier, Uwe Koch, Holger Schulz

University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

P82 Translation of evidence across countries and settings: benefits and challenges. Experiences from a pilot test of Map of Medicine in Denmark

Malene Fabricius Jensen, Lisbeth Hoeg-Jensen

National Board of Health, Copenhagen, Denmark

P83 Evidence from qualitative studies in clinical practice guidelines (CPG). The experience from a CPG for Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD) including parent's views

Raquel Luengo, Javier Gracia, Petra Diaz del Campo, Beatriz Nieto, Juan Antonio Blasco

Agencia Lain Entralgo, Madrid, Spain

P84 Monitoring the use of Clinical Guidelines in Brazil

Wanderley Bernardo², Martha Oliveira¹, Karla Coelho¹, Jorge Carvalho¹, Rochele Santos¹, Alfredo Scaff¹, Fausto dos Santos¹

1Agência Nacional de Saúde Suplementar, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, Brazil

P85 Improving the quality of health care: using national collaboration to development of guidelines strategies in Brazilian Supplementary Health Care System

Karla Coelho¹, Martha Oliveira¹, Jorge Carvalho¹, Rochele Santos¹, Wanderley Bernardo², Fausto dos Santos¹, Alfredo Scaff¹

1Agência Nacional de Saúde Suplementar, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2Associação Médica Brasileira, São Paulo, Brazil

P86 Orphan Drugs in the Management of Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension (PAH) in Hungary

Paul Vittay, Judit Banhazi, Aaron Vincziczki

National Institute for Strategic Health Research, Budapest, Hungary

P87 Developing a common framework for effective drug prevention in the European Union

Angelina Kurtev, Harry Sumnall

Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom

P88 A large-scale initiative to encourage assessment of guideline implementation: a CD-Rom for targeted clinical audits

Catherine Mayault, Claudie Locquet, Gérard Lairy, Marie-José Stachowiak, Roselyne Thiery

Haute Autorité de Santé, Saint-Denis La Plaine, France